



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,077	01/17/2001	Prasad Krothapalli	90933/0276150 6745	
7590 07/21/2004		EXAMINER		
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. East Tower, Ninth Floor Washington, DC 20005-3918			DUONG, OANH L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004	σ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

fr

,		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/765,077	KROTHAPALLI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Oanh L. Duong	2155
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	briespondence address -
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 J This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second sec	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2155

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 1, 11 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nicolas et al. (Nicolas) (US 6,593,944 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Nicolas teaches a method for maintaining at a server frame context for a device (Fig. 7), the method comprising:

generating a first data structure having a first pointer for a first frame and a second pointer for a second frame (col. 2 lines 63-col. 3 line 2);

associating a first context indicator with the first data structure (col. 3 lines 2-3 and col. 10 lines 28-32)

Art Unit: 2155

sending from a server to a device the first context indicator, the first pointer, and a first document pointed to by the first pointer (col. 12 lines 32-44 and col. 14 lines 30-49).

Regarding claim 11, Nicolas teaches the first pointer and the third pointer point to different documents (Fig. 7).

Regarding claim 15, Nicolas teaches a method for maintaining at a server frame context for a device that is unable to display multiple frames, the method comprising: generating a list including at least one data structure (col. 3 lines 18-24);

wherein each data structure includes at least two pointers and each of the at least two pointers corresponds to a different respective frame (col. 2 lines 63-col. 3 line 2);

wherein each data structure has a corresponding respective context indicator (col. 3 lines 2-3 and col. 10 lines 28-32); and

sending from a server to a device a first context indicator, a first pointer, and a first document pointed to by the first pointer (col. 12 lines 32-44 and col. 14 lines 30-49).

Regarding claim 20, Nicolas teaches a method for maintaining frame context (Fig. 7), the method comprising:

receiving at a device a context indicator that points to a data structure on a server (col. 12 lines 32-44);

wherein the data structure has at least two pointers each of which corresponds to a different respective frame (col. 12 lines 32-44);and

Art Unit: 2155

receiving at the device one of the at least two pointers and a document associated with the one of the at least two pointers (col. 14 lines 30-49).

Regarding claim 21, Nicolas teaches sending from the device to the server the context indicator and the one of the at least two pointers (col. 14 lines 30-49).

Regarding claim 22, Nicolas teaches the sending occurs when a user backtracks to the document pointed to by the one of the at least two pointers and makes a request associated with the document (col.14 lines 30-67).

Regarding claim 23, Nicolas teaches sending from the device to the server a request associated with the context indicator and the one of the at least two pointers (col. 14 lines 30-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 2-10, 12-14, 16-19 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nicolas in view of Ishimine (US 5,764,227).

Regarding claim 2, Nicolas teaches receiving at a server from the device the first context indicator, the first pointer, and a request (col. 14 lines 7-14); generating based on the request a second data structure with a third pointer for the first frame and a fourth pointer for the second frame (col. 14 lines 7-62).

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 3, Nicolas teaches receiving at a server from the device a request (col. 16 lines 5-10); and generating based on the request a second data structure having a third pointer for the first frame and a fourth pointer for the second frame (col. 14 lines 7-62).

Regarding claim 4, Nicolas does not teach assigning the first context indicator and the first pointer to current context indicator.

Ishimine, in the same field of endeavor, teaches assigning the first context indicator and the first pointer to current context indicator (Fig. 12(a) col. 7 lines 59-61). Ishimine teaches such assigning step allows easy recognition of each individual page/frame of the document (col. 1 line 6-12). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the assigning step of Ishimine in the process of maintaining frame/[page context in Nicolas.

Regarding claim 5, Nicolas teaches associating a second context indicator with the second data structure (col. 3 lines 2-3 and col. 10 lines 28-32); and sending to the device the second context indicator, the third pointer, and a second document pointed to by the third pointer (col. 14 line 63-col. 15 line12).

Regarding claims 6 and 8, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches associating a second context indicator with the second data structure (Ishimine, Fig. 12(b)); and assigning the second context indicator and the third pointer to a current context indicator (Ishimine, Fig. 12(b)).

Regarding claim 7, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches associating a second context indicator with the second data structure (Ishimine, Fig. 3); and sending to the device the

Art Unit: 2155

context indicator, the pointer, and a document pointed to by the pointer (col. 12 lines 32-44 and col. 14 lines 30-49).

Regarding claim 9, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches associating a second context indicator (i.e., 2) with the second data structure; and placing the first context indicator (i.e., 1) and the second context indicator into a list (data table memory 6) in the relative order that the first context indicator and the second context indicator were generated (Ishimine, Fig. 3).

Regarding claim 10, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches assigning the first context indicator and the first pointer to a current context indicator; wherein assigning the first context indicator precedes receiving at a server from the device the first context indicator, assigning the second context indicator and the third pointer to the current context indicator; wherein assigning the second context indicator occurs after receiving at a server from the device the first context indicator (Ishimine, col. 7 line 50-col. 8 line 3).

Regarding claim 12, Nicolas teaches the second pointer and the fourth pointer point to different documents (Fig 7).

Regarding claim 13, Nicola-Ishimine teaches associating a second context indicator with the second data structure; placing the first context indicator and the second context indicator into a list in the relative order that the first context indicator and the second context indicator were generated (Ishimine, Fig. 3).

Regarding claim 14, Nicolas teaches generating a third data structure with a fifth pointer to the first frame and a sixth pointer to the second frame (col. 2 lines 63-col. 3 line 2); associating a third context indicator with the third data structure (col. 3 lines 2-3

Art Unit: 2155

and col. 10 lines 28-32); sending the third context indicator, the fifth pointer, and a third document associated with the fifth pointer to the device (col. 12 lines 32-44 and col. 14 lines 30-49); receiving at the server from the device the first context indicator, the first pointer, and a request (col. 14 lines 7-14); and generating based on the request a fourth data structure with a seventh pointer for the first frame and an eighth pointer for the second frame (col. 14 lines 7-62).

Regarding claim 16, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches receiving at the server from the device the first context indicator, the first pointer, and a request (Nicolas, col. 14 lines 7-14); generating based on the request a new data structure (Nicolas, col. 14 lines 7-62); associating a new context indicator with the new data structure (Nicolas, col. 3 lines 2-3 and col. 10 lines 28-32); placing the new data structure into the list (Ishimine, Fig. 3); and sending from the server to the device a new context indicator, a new pointer which is associated with the new data structure (Barclay, col. 6 line 48-col. 7 line 3), and a new document pointed to by the new pointer (Nicolas, col. 12 lines 32-44 and col. 14 lines 30-49).

Regarding claim 17, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches assigning the first context indicator and the first pointer to a current context indicator; and wherein the assigning the first context indicator occurs before receiving at the server from the device the first context indicator (Ishimine, col. 7 lines 59-col. 8 line 3).

Regarding claim 18, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches reassigning the first context indicator and the first pointer to the current context indicator after receiving at the server from the device the first context indicator (Ishimine, col. 4 lines 23-59).

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 19, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches wherein generating is also based on the first context indicator and the first pointer (Ishimine, col. 10 lines 39-67).

Page 8

Regarding claim 24, Nicolas-Ishimine teaches the context indicator, the one of the at least two pointers and the document associated with the one of the at least two pointers (Ishimine, Fig. 3 col. 4 lines 23-36).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Oanh L. Duong whose telephone number is (703) 305-0295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 8:00AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T. Alam can be reached on (703) 308-6662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

O.D July 11, 2004

HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER